

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/698,579	10/27/2000	A. John Bramley	2001796-0006	5413
75	90 06/18/2002			
Brenda Herschbach Jarrell			EXAMINER	
Choate Hall & S Exchange Place	5 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		NAVARRO, ALBERT MARK	
53 State Street Boston, MA 02109			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1645	a.
			DATE MAILED: 06/18/2002	7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/698,579

Applicant(s)

Bramley et al

Examiner

Mark Navarro

Art Unit **1645** 



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
	for Reply				
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM			
		no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the			
-	g date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within th	e statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.			
- If NO		nd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.			
- Any re	ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of t	**			
Status	patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
1) 🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·			
2a) 🗌	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) 💢 This act	ion is non-final.			
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposi	tion of Claims				
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-26</u>	is/are pending in the application.			
4	a) Of the above, claim(s) <u>4-26</u>	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-3</u>	is/are rejected.			
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.			
8) 🗌	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Applica	ition Papers				
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)□	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) $\square$ approved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office action.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
<b>a</b> )[	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
*-	application from the International Bure				
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of th				
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).					
a) La The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
15)∟	-	priority under 35 U.S.C. 33 120 and/or 121.			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)					
	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 3 & 4 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 1645

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restriction

1. Applicant's election of Group I, claims 1-3, in Paper No. 8 (received May 28, 2002) is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

### Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement filed October 27, 2000 (references Kerr et al, Sambrook et al, and Watson et al) fails to comply with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, 1.98 and MPEP § 609 because they all lack a publication source, date of publication, and pages to be considered. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered as to the merits. Applicant is advised that the date of any re-submission of any item of information contained in this information disclosure statement or the submission of any missing element(s) will be the date of submission for purposes of determining compliance with the requirements based on the time of filing the statement, including all certification requirements for statements under 37 CFR 1.97(e). See MPEP § 609 C(1). A signed copy of the remaining references is enclosed.

Art Unit: 1645

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 3. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Williamson et al.

The claims are directed to a nucleic acid comprising a modified gene encoding an antimicrobial protein wherein the coding sequence is from the natural host, but has been modified to allow expression of the active form, and wherein the modified gene is operatively linked to at least one mammalian regulatory sequence.

Williamson *et al* (Applied and Environmental Microbiology Vol. 60, No. 3, pp 771-776, March 1994) disclose of the expression of *S. simulans* lysostaphin in a eukaryotic system. (See abstract).

Art Unit: 1645

In view that lysostaphin is an anti-microbial protein and that the protein was expressed in active form using mammalian COS-7 tissue cells, the disclosure of Williamson *et al* is deemed to anticipate the claimed invention.

4. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ladner et al.

The claims are directed to a nucleic acid comprising a modified gene encoding an antimicrobial protein wherein the coding sequence is from the natural host, but has been modified to allow expression of the active form, and wherein the modified gene is operatively linked to at least one mammalian regulatory sequence, and wherein the modified gene contains mutations that eliminate one or more glycosylation sites.

Ladner et al (U.S. Patent Number 6,204,020) disclose of the expression of both the long and short forms of colony stimulating factor as well as muteins of colony stimulating factor in a eukaryotic system. Ladner et al further set forth that the colony stimulating factor proteins are useful as an antimicrobial agent. Ladner et al further set forth of site specific mutagenesis to delete glycosylation sites within the protein. (See columns 12, 19, and 29).

In view that colony stimulating factor is an anti-microbial protein and that the protein was expressed in active form using mammalian regulatory sequences, and that glycosylation sites were deleted from the DNA sequence, the disclosure of Ladner *et al* is deemed to anticipate the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 1645

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Navarro, whose telephone number is (703) 306-3225. The examiner can be reached on Monday - Thursday from 8:00 AM - 6:00 PM. The examiner can be reached on alternate Fridays. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor Lynette Smith can be reached at (703) 308-3909.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist, whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1645 by facsimile transmission. Papers should by faxed to Group 1645 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the official Gazette 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The CMI Fax Center number is (703) 308-4242.

Mark Navarro

**Primary Examiner** 

June 12, 2002